

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,326		02/13/2002	Yasuo Ohtsuka	2002_0194	6036	
513	7590	07/07/2004		EXAMINER		
		ND & PONACK, I	COLEMAN, BR	COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY		
2033 K ST SUITE 800		W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	GTON, DO	20006-1021	1624			

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	11-	A				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/073,32	6	OHTSUKA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Brenda Co		1624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the p	N. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the statu od will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	April 2004.						
·								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 19-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-30 and 33-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19-21,31 and 32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b)[ne drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ı	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/509,494. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	-,	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/073,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 19-36 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on April 30, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 22-30 and 33-36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 30, 2004.

Priority

3. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be

Application/Control Number: 10/073,326 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients:
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,326

Art Unit: 1624

6. Claims 19-21, 31 and 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:

Page 4

- a) Claim 19 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of Q, which is defined as group (i) as defined in claim 1 (see line 3).
- b) Claim 19 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R² to R⁵, R³¹, R³² and R⁵², which are as defined above (see lines 3-4). However, the definition of R² to R⁵, R³¹, R³² and R⁵² are not defined within the claim.
- c) Claim 19 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the moiety $N0_2$ in formula (V). It is believed that the applicants intended $N0_2$ not $N0_2$, i.e. zero vs. the letter O (see line 6)
- d) Claim 19 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R² to R⁵ and R⁵², which are as defined above (see line 7).
- e) Claim 19 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R³¹ and R³², which are as defined above in claim 1 (see line 8).
- f) Claim 19 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R³³, which is as defined in claim 1 (see line 13).
- g) Claim 20 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of Q, which is defined as group (i) as defined in claim 1 (see line 3).
- h) Claim 20 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R² to R⁵, R³¹, R³² and R⁵², which are as defined above (see lines 3-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,326 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

However, the definition of R² to R⁵, R³¹, R³² and R⁵² are not defined within the claim.

- i) Claim 20 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the moiety N0₂ in formula (V). It is believed that the applicants intended NO₂ not N0₂, i.e. zero vs. the letter O (see line 6)
- j) Claim 20 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R² to R⁵ and R⁵², which are as defined above (see line 7).
- k) Claim 20 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R³¹ and R³², which are as defined above in claim 1 (see line 8).
- l) Claim 20 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R³³, which is as defined in claim 1 (see line 10).
- m) Claim 21 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of Q, which is defined as group (i) as defined in claim 1 (see line 3).
- n) Claim 21 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R² to R⁵, R³¹, R³² and R⁵², which are as defined above (see lines 3-4). However, the definition of R² to R⁵, R³¹, R³² and R⁵² are not defined within the claim.
- o) Claim 21 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the moiety N0₂ in formula (V). It is believed that the applicants intended NO₂ not N0₂, i.e. zero vs. the letter O (see line 6)
- p) Claim 21 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R^2 to R^5 and R^{52} , which are as defined above (see line 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,326

Art Unit: 1624

q) Claim 21 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of Q, which is defined as group (i) as defined in claim 1 (see line 10).

Page 6

- r) Claim 21 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R³¹ and R³², which are as defined above in claim 1 (see line 11).
- s) Claim 31 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is an optionally protected hydroxyl group.
- t) Claim 31 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (i) where the repeater m is not a subscript.
- u) Claim 31 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (j) where the repeater k is not a subscript.
- v) Claim 31 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (k) where the repeater j is not a subscript.
- w) Claim 31 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (I) where the repeater p is not a subscript.
- x) Claim 31 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (m) where the repeater q is not a subscript.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,326

Art Unit: 1624

y) Claim 31 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R⁵² where R⁵² is a protective group for carboxyl.

Page 7

- z) Claim 32 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is an optionally protected hydroxyl group.
- aa) Claim 32 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (i) where the repeater m is not a subscript.
- ab) Claim 32 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (j) where the repeater k is not a subscript.
- ac) Claim 32 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (k) where the repeater j is not a subscript.
- ad) Claim 32 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (I) where the repeater p is not a subscript.
- ae) Claim 32 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ where R², R³, R⁴ and R⁵ is (m) where the repeater q is not a subscript.
- af) Claim 32 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of R⁵² where R⁵² is a protective group for carboxyl.

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

Brenda Coleman

July 1, 2004